2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 11 GUSTAVO RODRIGUEZ, Case No.: 2:18-cy-01267-APG-NJK Plaintiff(s), 12 **Order** 13 v. [Docket No. 12] 14 JAMES RIVER INSURANCE, 15 Defendant(s). 16 Pending before the Court is a "joint case management report," Docket No. 12, which appears to be an attempt to file a discovery plan. This filing is defective for several reasons. First, the proposed discovery plan should be a stand-alone filing providing the information outlined in Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure and in Local Rule 26-1. That filing shall also include a proposed scheduling order, the form of which must comply with Local Rule 26-1(b)(10). Second, the proposed discovery plan must include various certifications. See Local Rule 26-22 \(\begin{aligned} 1(b)(7)-(9). \) Third, the instant filing indicates that the "parties have not discussed settlement," Docket No. 12 at 6, even though they were required to do so at the Rule 26(f) conference, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(2) ("In conferring, the parties must consider . . . the possibilities for promptly settling or resolving the case").1 25 26 27 28 ¹ The deficiencies identified herein are not meant to be exhaustive in nature.

Counsel shall carefully review the applicable rules, and shall file a proposed discovery plan that conforms thereto no later than August 9, 2018.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 3, 2018

Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge